

Patent Attorney Docket No. <u>033679-040</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of	MAIL STOP/AF	#16
Kenneth G. NOGGLE	Group Art: 3722	8/12/03
Application No. 09/782,915)) Examiner: Dana Ross	B. Ross
Filed: February 13, 2001	Confirmation No. 3193	_
For: CUTTING TOOL ADJUSTMENT) DEVICE)		

RECEIVED

AUG 0 8 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Official Action dated May 6, 2003, reconsideration of claims 1-7 in the present application is respectfully requested.

It is asserted in the Official action that it would have been obvious to increase the size of the adjustment screw 37 of Bastek '023 so that it becomes threadedly engaged to the holder. Such a change involves much more than a mere change in size of a component as alleged in the Official action. Besides requiring the machining of a threaded hole in the holder to receive the screw, it also places at risk the accuracy of the insert adjustment.

In that regard, Bastek seeks to obtain a very accurate adjustment of the insert.

The object of the invention is to provide a machine reamer of the type described that permits sensitive, accurate adjustment of the cutter in the longitudinal direction of the slot. (column 1, lines 28-31)

Very accurate and sensitive longitudinal adjustment of the cutter 18 is thus possible. (column 3, lines 28-30)

Application No. <u>09/782,915</u> Attorney Docket No. <u>033679-040</u> Page 2

By modifying Bastek's device n the manner proposed in the Official action, there would result an arrangement wherein the screw 37 is threadedly engaged in both the stop pin 32 and the holder 12. Unless the screw threads in the stop pin 32 and the holder 12 are of precise, identical shape and size, there will be a tendency for the stop pin 32 to be displaced axially while it is being rotated, which would undesirably negate or magnify the intended adjustment of the insert 18. Note that in the device as disclosed by Bastek, rotation of the screw results in axial movement of the screw without any risk of axial movement of the stop pin 32. Therefore, a user knows that rotation of the screw by a given amount of rotary turning will produce a certain bending (flexing) of the head 44 of the pin 32 in the right or left direction which can be correlated to the extent of insert adjustment. Accordingly, the adjustment is predictable.

On the other hand, if the device were modified as proposed in the Official action, the stop pin would be under the simultaneous influence of two thread connections. If, for example, the pitch or angle of the thread formed in the holder 12 were slightly different from that of the pin 32, rotation of the screw would result in a jamming of the screw or an axial movement of the pin. The disadvantage associated with jamming is evident. The disadvantage associated with axial movement of the pin is that it affects the displacement of the insert. Thus, if the head 44 of the pin 32 were flexed by the screw head simultaneously with an axial movement of the pin 32, the displacement of the insert could no longer be accurately predicted as a function of the amount of screw rotation.

It is hard to imagine why an artisan would go to the trouble of adding a threaded connection in Bastek between the screw and the holder which merely serves to add to the cost and difficulty of manufacture and jeopardizes the accuracy of insert adjustment that is being sought. Hence, there is not only a lack of motivation for making the proposed modification, there is actually considerable motivation for not <u>not</u> making it.

Application No. <u>09/782,915</u> Attorney Docket No. <u>033679-040</u> Page 3

Accordingly, it is requested that the rejection of claims 1-7 be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By.

Alan E. Kopecki

Registration No. 25,813

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: August 6, 2003



Patent Attorney Docket No. <u>033679-040</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of) MAIL STOP/AF			
Kenneth	G. NOGGLE) Group Art Unit: 3722			
Applicati	on No.: 09/782,915) Examiner: Dana Ross			
Filed: F	February 13, 2001) Confirmation No.: 3193			
,	CUTTING TOOL ADJUSTMENT DEVICE)) -			
P.O. Box		RECEIVED AUG 0 8 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R370)		
	ria, VA 22313-1450	1711 137	20		
Sir:					
Enc	losed is a Request for Reconsideration for	or the above-identified patent application.			
[]	A Petition for Extension of Time is also	enclosed.			
[]	A Terminal Disclaimer and the [] \$55.0 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) are also enclosed.	00 (2814) [] \$110.00 (1814) fee due under 37			
[]	Also enclosed is/are	·			
[]	Small entity status is hereby claimed.				
[]	Applicant(s) requests continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the [] \$375.00 (2801) [] \$750.00 (1801) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).				
		iously unentered after final amendments <u>not</u> be requested based on the enclosed documents			
	[] Applicant(s) previously submitted _ requested.	, on, for which continued examination is			
	does not exceed three months from	f action by the Office until at least, which the filing of this RCE, in accordance with ed fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) is enclosed.			

Amendment/Reply Transmittal Letter Application No. <u>09/782,915</u> Attorney's Docket No. <u>033679-040</u> Page 2

- [] A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (1809/2809) is also enclosed.
- [$\sqrt{\ }$] No additional claim fee is required.
- [] An additional claim fee is required, and is calculated as shown below:

<u> </u>	•	AMENDED	CLAIMS	S	·
÷	No. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST NO. OF CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	ADD'L Fee
Total Claims	20	MINUS 20 =		× \$18.00 (1202) =	
Independent Claims	4	MINUS 4 =		× \$84.00 (1201) =	
If Amendment adds m	ultiple depend	ent claims, add \$28	0.00 (1203)		
Total Claim Amendme	ent Fee				
If small entity status is	claimed, sub	tract 50% of Total C	Claim Amendr	nent Fee	
TOTAL ADDITION	AL CLAIM F	EE DÚE FÖR TH	IS AMENDM	ENT	

[]	A total fee in t	he amount of $\$ _		is enclosed.
r 1	Charge \$	to Deposit	Account N	lo. 02-4800.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: <u>August 6, 2003</u>

Alan E. Kopecki

Registration No. 52,813

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620